

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



FROM: Transportation and Land Management
Agency

SUBMITTAL DATE: June 4, 2003

SUBJECT: Amendment No. 8 to the Jacobs Contract for the Riverside County Integrated Project

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board approve the attached Contract Amendment No. 8 with Jacobs Engineering (Attachment A), or Contract Amendment No. 8, plus the additional services proposed by O'Reilly Public Relations (Attachment B), approve the use of County funds to advance funding for CETAP and MSHCP as discussed below, and authorize the Director of the Transportation and Land Management Agency to sign the Contract Amendment.

BACKGROUND: Contract Amendment No. 7 covered projected costs for the RCIP through June of 2003. The proposed Contract Amendment No. 8 will extend the contract through September 2003 for the MSHCP, August 2003 for the CETAP Winchester to Temecula Corridor, February 2004 for the Hemet to Corona / Lake Elsinore Corridor, June 2003 for the General Plan. The attached proposed contract amendment from Jacobs would fund the completion of the RCIP under this revised schedule. Included in the contract amendment package attached is a letter from Jacobs providing their justification for the increase in the contract.

(Continued)

Attachments

Richard Lashbrook
Richard Lashbrook
TLMA Director

FINANCIAL DATA:

CURRENT YEAR COST \$1,468,678
NET COUNTY COST 1,090,000

ANNUAL COST: To be determined

IN CURRENT YEAR BUDGET: Yes
BUDGET ADJUSTMENT FY: No

SOURCE OF FUNDS: \$1.090 M County General Fund, \$189,300 Habitat Conservation, \$189,378 NCCP

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE

County Executive Office Signature

James S. Siefert

FORM APPROVED
COUNTY COUNCIL

JUN 04 2003
Richard Lashbrook

Policy
 Policy

Consent
 Consent

Department Recommendation:
Per Executive Office:

BACKGROUND: (Continued)

With Amendment No. 8, the total amount of the contract would be increased from \$32.5 million to approximately \$34 million, an increase of approximately \$1.5 million.

As the prime contractor for the RCIP, the Jacobs contract covers all of the subcontractors working on the RCIP. The attachments provide detail information on each sub-consultant and the changes to their individual contracts.

Of the approximate \$1.5 million increase, \$1.090 million is for CETAP (for a new total of \$16.551 million), no change for the General Plan, and \$0.379 million is for the MSHCP (for a new total of \$7.198 million). Major factors resulting in the increased costs are the extension of the comment period for the MSHCP and the requirement for a supplemental EIR/EIS for the Hemet to Corona / Lake Elsinore Corridor. The increases also cover the continued coordination with the Federal Agencies and with local stakeholders.

At this time, we are not requesting an increase in the FY 2002/2003 budget; however, we are continuing to review actual revenues from both RCTC and USFWS. Based on this analysis, an additional allocation of General Fund could be required if these revenues do not meet budget estimates. Additional Funding for 2003/2004 may be required and will be addressed during budget hearings. To date, the total costs of the General Plan have been paid from County General Funds. The MSHCP has been funded 50% from Habitat Conservation Trust Funds generated from landfill tipping fees and 50% from Federal NCCP planning funds allocated by the State and Federal Resource Agencies. The costs for the CETAP program have been funded by RCTC from a variety of state, federal, and local funding sources.

Funding for the increase in MSHCP Costs – The Wildlife Agencies have committed \$300,000 in additional NCCP planning funds to cover one-half of the increased costs for the MSHCP.

Funding for the Increase in CETAP Costs - At this time, RCTC is not able to commit additional funding to cover the increase in the CETAP program. The Commission recently (5/14/03) approved programming \$778,985 in STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring Funds to cover costs identified in Amendment No. 7. However, due to the State's budget crisis, it is unknown when the RCTC will actually receive the funds. FHWA has suggested funds could be available to assist in completion of the supplemental EIR/EIS, however, a full commitment has not yet been received.

BACKGROUND: (Continued)

To avoid delaying completion of the RCIP, it is recommended that the County advance the funding for Contract Amendment No. 8 and seek reimbursement commitments from RCTC and USF&WS.

If the General Plan and MSHCP are completed as proposed under the schedule and budget for Amendment No. 8, it may be desirable to restructure the Jacobs contract to complete the CETAP program.

An issue has been raised as to the amount of public outreach funded under this contract amendment. As proposed, the revised budget includes \$50,000 for public outreach on an "as needed" basis. O'Reilly Public Relations has submitted a proposal for \$312,000 to cover the services outlined in Attachment B. If the Board desires this level of resources, an increase in the contract amount of \$1,730,678 (\$1,468,678 + \$262,000) would be required. This would increase the annual cost to \$1,730,678 and the General Fund contribution to \$1,352,000 (\$1,090,000 + \$262,000).